

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

JOHN KIRSCHBAUM,

Petitioner,

v.

D. W. NEVEN, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:12-cv-01007-MMD-PAL

ORDER

This is a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 in which petitioner, a state prisoner, is proceeding *pro se*. On August 21, 2012, petitioner paid the filing fee for this action. (Dkt. no. 4.)

Petitioner has filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. (Dkt. no. 2.) There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary. *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are such that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner is a person of such limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims. *See Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; *see also Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir. 1970). The claims in this case are not especially complex, and petitioner has shown that he is capable of

1 presenting his claims and arguments in a relatively clear and organized fashion.  
2 Accordingly, the Court concludes that counsel is not justified in this case and denies the  
3 motion.

4 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for the appointment of  
5 counsel (dkt. no. 2) is DENIED.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall FILE and ELECTRONICALLY  
7 SERVE the petition (dkt. no. 1-1) upon the respondents. A petition for federal habeas  
8 corpus should include all claims for relief of which petitioner is aware. If petitioner fails to  
9 include such a claim in his petition, he may be forever barred from seeking federal  
10 habeas relief upon that claim. See 28 U.S.C. §2254(b) (successive petitions). If  
11 petitioner is aware of any claim not included in his petition, he should notify the Court of  
12 that as soon as possible, perhaps by means of a motion to amend his petition to add the  
13 claim.


14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from  
15 entry of this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their  
16 answer or other response, respondents shall address any claims presented by petitioner  
17 in his petition as well as any claims presented by petitioner in any statement of additional  
18 claims. Respondents shall raise all potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive  
19 pleading, including lack of exhaustion and procedural default. Successive motions to  
20 dismiss will not be entertained. If an answer is filed, respondents shall comply with the  
21 requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Proceedings in the United States District  
22 Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, petitioner shall have forty-five (45)  
23 days from the date of service of the answer to file a reply.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the  
25 Attorney General of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other  
26 document he submits for consideration by the Court. Petitioner shall include with the  
27 original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct  
28 copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney General. The Court may disregard any

1 paper that does not include a certificate of service. After respondents appear in this  
2 action, petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy Attorney General  
3 assigned to the case.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any state court record exhibits filed by  
5 respondents shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by  
6 number or letter. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further shall be identified by  
7 the number or numbers (or letter or letters) of the exhibits in the attachment. The hard  
8 copy of any additional state court record exhibits shall be forwarded – for this case – to  
9 the staff attorneys in Reno.

10  
11 DATED THIS 11<sup>th</sup> day of October 2012.

12  
13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE